

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/583,703	05/31/2000	Oleg B. Rashkovskiy	INTL-0409-US (P8992)	5209	
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER		
Timothy N Trop			LUU, LE HIEN		
Trop Pruner & I 8554 Katy Free			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ste 100	,		2141	9	
Houston, TX 77024			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

1		•			1		
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/583,703		RASHKOVSKIY, OLEG B.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	i		
		Le H Luu		2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory min will apply and will expire , cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica 0 (35 U.S.C. & 133).	tion.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	<u>March 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.				
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)□ Т	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) Object	ed to by the Exar	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)∐ Т	he proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment		-	••				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	- ·		

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Compton et al. (Compton) patent no. 6,115,035, in view of Agnihotri et al. (Agnihotri) patent no. 6,608,930, and Reilly et al. (Reilly), patent no. 5,740,549.
- 4. As to claim 1, Compton teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method comprising:

automatically searching for streaming video files (col. 3 lines 33-46; col. 4 lines 30-42);

selecting particular streaming video files based on keywords (col. 4 lines 30-42, search engine inherently use keywords search); and

generating representations of said streaming video files for display as a graphical user interface (col. 4 lines 43-65; col. 7 line 16-27).

Application/Control Number: 09/583,703

Art Unit: 2141

However, Compton does not explicitly teach said selecting step using a text search using keywords, nor said streaming video files organized by categories for display.

Agnihotri teaches user can use many text attributes for searching video streams (col. 12 lines 23-67).

Reilly teaches a data viewer displays video files that are organized by categories (figure 10; col. 13 lines 28-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Compton, Agnihotri, and Reilly to select particular streaming video files based on a text search using keywords, and display said streaming video files based on categories because it would quickly locate particular video programs and display information based on user viewing preferences.

- 5. As to claim 2, Compton teaches automatically searching for streaming video files includes automatically searching for predetermined file extensions associated with streaming video files (col. 4 lines 43-65, fig 3).
- 6. As to claims 3-4, Compton and Reilly teaches automatically searching for streaming video file extensions and for keywords in web sites; organizing said video files into a category based on the keyword used to locate said video file (Compton, col. 4 lines 30-42; Reilly, figure 10; col. 13 lines 28-48).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/583,703

Art Unit: 2141

7. As to claims 5-7, Compton and Reilly teaches representing a plurality of categories and video files associated with said categories, representing each video file by a thumbnail frame, and playing said video file in response to a user selection of said

thumbnail video (Reilly, figure 10, col. 13 line 28 - col. 14 line 16).

8. As to claims 8-10, Compton and Reilly teaches using said keywords as category icons and displaying a plurality of video files associated with each category icon,

accessing said video file over the Internet in response to a user selection of said video

file, and periodically automatically searching for streaming video files (Reilly, figure 10,

col. 13 line 28 - col. 14 line 16).

9. Claims 11-23 have similar limitations as claims 1-10; therefore, they are rejected

under the same rationale.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/583,703

Art Unit: 2141

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 05, 2004